## Case 2:24-cr-00301-DJC Document 18 Filed 07/17/25 Page 1 of 4

1 2 3 4	TASHA PARIS CHALFANT (SBN 207055) THE LAW OFFICE OF TASHA PARIS CHALFANT 13620 Lincoln Way, Suite 325 Auburn, California 95603 Tel. (916) 444-6100 Fax (916) 930-6093 E-mail: tashachalfant@gmail.com	
5	Attorney for Defendant JOSHUA IVARSON	
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE	
7	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9		
10	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	) No. 2:24-cr-0301 DJC
11	Plaintiff,	) STIPULATION REGARDING ) EXCLUDABLE TIME PERIODS UNDER ) SPEEDY TRIAL ACT; FINDINGS AND
12	v.	ORDER
13	JOSHUA IVARSON,	
14 15	Defendant.	
16		
17		Ó
18	STIPULATION  Plaintiff United States of America, by and through its counsel of record Assistant United	
19		
20	States Attorney JASON HITT, and the Defendant, JOSHUA IVARSON, by and through his	
21	counsel of record TASHA PARIS CHALFANT, hereby stipulate and request that the Court make	
22	the following findings and Order as follows:	
23	1. By previous order, this matter was set for a status conference before Judge Daniel	
24	J. Calabretta on July 31, 2025, with time excluded to that date.	
25 26	2. By this stipulation, the defendant now moves to set the matter for a change of plea	
27	on August 7, 2025, and to exclude time between July 31, 2025, and August 7, 2025, under Local	
28	STIPULATION AND ORDER FOR CONTINUANCE OF STATUS CONFERENCE	
	AND FOR EXCLUSION OF TIME	

Code T4. Plaintiff does not oppose this request.

- 3. The parties agree and stipulate, and request that the Court find the following:
- a. The government has represented that the discovery associated with this case includes approximately 500 plus pages of investigative reports in electronic form, and some audio and video files. All of this discovery has been either produced directly to counsel, and/or made available for inspection and copying.
- b. Counsel for the defendant desires additional time to consult with her client and relevant experts, discuss the upcoming change of plea, and to explain the consequences and guidelines. Counsel also has a calendar conflict on July 31, 2025, and is required to be out-of-state for an extended evidentiary hearing.
- c. Counsel for the defendant believes that failure to grant the above-requested continuance would deny her the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
  - d. The government does not object to the continuance.
- e. Based on the above-stated findings, the ends of justice served by continuing the case as requested outweigh the interest of the public and the defendant in a trial within the original date prescribed by the Speedy Trial Act.
- f. For the purpose of computing time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, et seq., within which trial must commence, the time period of July 31, 2025, to August 7, 2025, inclusive, is deemed excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C.§ 3161(h)(7)(A), B(iv) [Local Code T4] because it results from a continuance granted by the Court at defendant's request on the basis of the Court's finding that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest

STIPULATION AND ORDER FOR CONTINUANCE OF STATUS CONFERENCE

AND FOR EXCLUSION OF TIME

## 

of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. 1 5. Nothing in this stipulation and order shall preclude a finding that other 2 provisions of the Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time periods are excludable from the 3 4 period within which a trial must commence. 5 All counsel has reviewed this proposed order and authorized Tasha Chalfant to sign it on 6 their behalf. 7 IT IS SO STIPULATED. 8 9 Dated: July 16, 2025 by: /s/Tasha Chalfant for JASON HITT 10 Assistant U.S. Attorney Attorney for Plaintiff 11 12 Dated: July 16, 2025 by: /s/Tasha Chalfant TASHA CHALFANT 13 Attorney for Defendant JOSHUA IVARSON 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 STIPULATION AND ORDER FOR CONTINUANCE OF STATUS CONFERENCE

AND FOR EXCLUSION OF TIME

## ORDER

The Court, having received, read, and considered the stipulation of the parties, and good cause appearing therefrom, adopts the stipulation of the parties in its entirety as its order. Based on the stipulation of the parties and the recitation of facts contained therein, the Court finds that the failure to grant a continuance in this case would deny defense counsel reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. The Court finds that the ends of justice to be served by granting the requested continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.

The Court orders that the time from the date of the parties' stipulation, July 31, 2025, to and including August 7, 2025, change of plea hearing date shall be excluded from computation of time within which the trial of this case must be commenced under the Speedy Trial Act, pursuant to 18 U.S.C §3161(h)(7)(A) and (B) (iv), and Local Code T4 (reasonable time for defense counsel to prepare). It is further ordered that the vacated status conference shall be reset for a change of plea on August 7, 2025, at 9:00 a.m.

IT IS SO FOUND AND ORDERED this 16th day of July, 2025.

/s/ Daniel J. Calabretta

THE HONORABLE DANIEL J. CALABRETTA UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

STIPULATION AND ORDER FOR CONTINUANCE OF STATUS CONFERENCE

AND FOR EXCLUSION OF TIME